

ED 406 155

SE 059 816

AUTHOR Parker, Dawn; Jensen, Debbie  
 TITLE The Texas Poll of Elementary School Teachers: Survey Sampling Procedures and Questionnaire Design.  
 SPONS AGENCY Texas Statewide Systemic Initiative for Science and Mathematics Education.  
 PUB DATE 22 Mar 97  
 NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching (70th, Oak Brook, IL, March 22, 1997). For related documents, see SE 059 817-818.  
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS Elementary Education; Elementary School Science; Methods Research; Program Evaluation; Public Schools; Questionnaires; \*Research Design; Sampling; \*Science Instruction; Teacher Attitudes; Teaching Methods; Telephone Surveys  
 IDENTIFIERS \*Texas

## ABSTRACT

The Texas Poll of Elementary School Teachers was a statewide telephone survey designed to accurately describe the current science teaching practices in Texas public elementary schools, and the extent to which these elementary teachers feel prepared for the task. This paper focused on the survey sampling procedures and questionnaire design used in the statewide poll. Two hundred public elementary school teachers from forty Texas elementary schools were randomly selected to participate in the poll. The survey sampling method was a probability proportionate to size sampling design implemented to ensure that every elementary school teacher in Texas had an equal chance of being selected. The specification plan for questionnaire design followed the question-generating strategy established by the annual Gallup Poll of Education. Accordingly, two- and four-year college and university faculty, public school curriculum specialists, educational service center specialists, and master teachers were designated to develop questionnaire items that accurately represented current science teaching practices and preparation of Texas public elementary school teachers. Questionnaire items were pilot-tested with a group of elementary teachers representative of the target population to ensure appropriate question construction, ordering, and accuracy. (Author)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
 \* from the original document. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*D. Parker*

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

ED 406 155

**THE TEXAS POLL OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHERS:  
SURVEY SAMPLING PROCEDURES AND QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN**

Paper Presented by:

Dawn Parker and Debbie Jensen  
Department of Curriculum & Instruction  
Texas A&M University  
College Station, Texas 77843-4232

The work of this project is funded by the  
Texas Statewide Systemic Initiative for Science and Mathematics Education

Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the  
National Association for Research in Science Teaching

Chicago, Illinois: March 22, 1997

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

SEN 591016

## ABSTRACT

The Texas Poll of Elementary School Teachers was a statewide telephone survey designed to accurately describe the current science teaching practices in Texas public elementary schools and the extent to which these elementary teachers feel prepared for the task. This paper focused on the survey sampling procedures and questionnaire design used in the statewide poll. Two hundred public elementary school teachers from forty Texas elementary schools were randomly selected to participate in the poll. The survey sampling method was a probability proportionate to size (PPS) sampling design implemented to ensure that every elementary school teacher in Texas had an equal chance of being selected. The specification plan for questionnaire design followed the question-generating strategy established by the Annual Gallup Poll of Education. Accordingly, two and four year college and university faculty, public school curriculum specialists, educational service center specialists, and master teachers were designated to develop questionnaire items that accurately represented current science teaching practices and preparation of Texas public elementary school teachers. Questionnaire items were pilot tested with a group of elementary teachers representative of the target population to ensure appropriate question construction, ordering, and accuracy.

## INTRODUCTION

Science literacy is a goal of the standards-based movement occurring across the nation (NRC, 1996). In a highly scientific and technological society, scientifically literate individuals possess the necessary knowledge to make informed decisions as they live and work in society. Science literacy begins with the young, in the elementary grades, and is nurtured by family, community, and school. However, the quality of teacher education has been a popular theme for critics of our educational system specifically preparing students to be science literate individuals. There have been an array of parallel but sometimes contradictory recommendations from reform movements for our public school system and for our public school teachers. Often these studies have not considered the opinions of public school teachers and ideas of their own science teaching practice in the process of educational reform.

What do elementary teachers think about their science teaching practice? What do they see as the science teaching practices of their schools and of their communities? The Texas Poll of Elementary School Teachers was a scientific survey to accurately describe the current science teaching practices in Texas public elementary schools and the extent to which elementary school teachers are prepared for this task. The purpose of the poll was to gather relevant teacher information that could be used to improve science teaching practices in Texas public elementary schools and to strengthen the science preparation of elementary teachers at colleges and universities across the state. Standard sampling procedures were used to select randomly two hundred teachers from the population of the approximately 85,000 classroom teachers currently teaching in the 3,757 public elementary schools in the state of Texas. This paper discusses the procedures used to develop the survey sampling plan and telephone questionnaire for the Texas Poll of Elementary School Teachers.

## SURVEY SAMPLING PROCEDURES

A basic principle of probability sampling is that a sample will be representative of the population from which it is selected if all members of the population have an equal chance of being selected in the sample. More specifically, sampling used in the Texas Poll of Elementary Teachers was Probability Proportionate to Size (PPS) sampling. Probability proportionate to size sampling is a type of multistage cluster sampling in which clusters are selected not with equal probabilities but with probabilities proportionate to their sizes, as measured by the number of units to be subsampled (Babbie, 1990, p. 376).

### Selection of Public Elementary Schools

The Texas Education Agency School Directory (1994-1995) was used to identify 3,757 public elementary school in Texas with representative enrollments of each elementary school. This listing constituted the sampling frame for the first stage of sampling. A total of 200 teachers was desired for the study, so a decision was made to select 40 elementary schools with probability proportionate to size and to take five elementary teachers from each of the schools selected. The poll was interested in grades one through six. Thus, representative enrollments were multiplied by a correction factor based on school structure.

To select the 40 elementary schools, the list of public elementary schools was arranged geographically by county and then by region. This arrangement allowed for implicit stratification of the list (Babbie, 1990, p. 87). From this arrangement, a table was created listing the elementary schools in Texas. This listing arranged the schools according to the twenty Regional Service Centers across the state. Beside each elementary school was entered its grade structure, its enrollment, its correction factor, and

its corrected enrollment. This corrected enrollment was used to compute a cumulative total running through the list (Table 1).

The final cumulative enrollment total came to 1,610,220. The object at this point was to select a sample of 40 schools in such a way that each school would have a chance of selection proportionate to its corrected enrollment. To accomplish this, the cumulative totals were used to create ranges of numbers for each region equal to the student enrollment of the region. The first region in table 1 was assigned numbers 1 through 115,223; the second region was assigned numbers 115,224 to 163,680; region three was assigned numbers 163,681 to 192,596; and so forth. By selecting 40 numbers ranging from 1 to 1,610,220, it was possible to select 40 schools for the study. The 40 schools were selected in a systematic sample as follows. The sampling interval was set at 40,255 (1,610,220/40), and a random start was selected between 1 and 40,255.

---

Table 1.  
Summary of Elementary School Enrollments by Region

---

Area #	HQ City	# Students	% Students	Cum. # Students	Cum. % Students
1	Edinberg	115223	7.16	115223	7.16
2	Corpus Christi	48457	3.01	163680	10.17
3	Victoria	28916	1.80	192596	11.96
4	Houston	337376	20.95	529972	32.91
5	Beaumont	36012	2.24	565984	35.15
6	Huntsville	52871	3.28	618855	38.43
7	Kilgore	64899	4.03	683754	42.46
8	Mt. Pleasant	23514	1.46	707268	43.92
9	Wichita Falls	18784	1.17	726052	45.09
10	Richardson	226587	14.07	952639	59.16
11	Fort Worth	156608	9.73	1109247	68.89
12	Waco	56310	3.50	1165557	72.38
13	Austin	94754	5.88	1260311	78.27
14	Abilene	20048	1.25	1280359	79.51
15	San Angelo	23810	1.48	1304169	80.99
16	Amarillo	34270	2.13	1338439	83.12
17	Lubbock	38268	2.38	1376707	85.50
18	Midland	37076	2.30	1413783	87.80
19	El Paso	66879	4.15	1480662	91.95
20	San Antonio	129558	8.05	1610220	100.00

---

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Increments of 40,255 (the sampling interval) were then added to the random start, and every school within whose range one of the resultant numbers appeared was selected into the sample of schools. In this fashion, each elementary school in Texas had a chance of selection directly proportionate to its student enrollment.

### Selection of Teachers

After the selection of the 40 elementary schools, arrangements were made with 21 liaisons across the state to acquire faculty lists of teachers at each elementary school. The lists varied greatly in their form and content. It was necessary to sort out the elementary teachers responsible for teaching grades one through six and their specific arrangements for teaching science. As each list arrived from a selected school, the number of teachers at the school was determined. A random number table was used to select the desired number of teachers (five) from each school. A random start was determined and a determined pattern was followed on the number table until there were five numbers whose range appeared in the sample of teachers. This procedure was repeated for each school with a new random start and determined pattern on the random number table followed each time.

### Questionnaire Design

The Texas Poll of Elementary Teachers was a twenty-six item, multiple-format telephone survey used to gather data describing current science teaching practices in Texas elementary schools. Specifically, the specification plan for telephone questionnaire design followed the question-generating strategy established by the Annual Gallup Poll of Education. Accordingly, two- and four year college and university faculty, public school curriculum specialists, educational service center specialists, and master teachers were assembled by a survey research team. These specialists were designated to develop questionnaire items that accurately represented current science teaching practices and the

preparation of Texas public elementary school teachers. Items were considered that would gather meaningful teacher data and help strengthen elementary teacher preparation. Questionnaire items were pilot tested with a small group of public school elementary teachers representative of the target population to ensure appropriate question construction, ordering, and accuracy.

## CONCLUSION

This paper described the procedures used in survey sampling design and questionnaire construction for the Texas Poll of Elementary School Teachers. Science literacy begins with young children. To improve levels of science literacy, strengthening the science preparation of our elementary teachers that teach our children is essential. It is important to understand the concerns of elementary teachers and how these teachers were prepared to teach science. A common mistake made in educational research is to investigate persons that are not part of the target population or that are from the appropriate population but are studied simply because they are available (Borg & Gall, 1989). The procedures used in developing the sampling design and in generating questionnaire items used in the Texas poll are valid and reliable which ensure that data gathered from the Texas Poll of Elementary School Teachers will be meaningful and representative of elementary school teachers in Texas.

---

## REFERENCES

Babbie, E. (1990). Survey research methods. Belmont, California: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

Borg, W. R., & Gall, M. D. (1989). Educational research: An introduction. New York: Longman.

Texas Education Agency (1994-1995). Texas School Directory. TEA.





**REPRODUCTION RELEASE**  
(Specific Document)

**I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:**

Title: <i>The Texas Poll of Elementary School Teachers: Survey Sampling Procedures and Questionnaire Design</i>	
Author(s): <i>Dawn R. Parker and Debbie Jensen</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>Texas Statewide Systematic Initiative</i>	Publication Date: <i>3/22/1997</i>

**II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:**

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here  
**For Level 1 Release:**  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here  
**For Level 2 Release:**  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: <i>Dawn Parker</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Dawn Parker Research Assistant</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Texas A&amp;M University College of Education - EDCE College Station, Texas 77843-4232</i>	Telephone: <i>409-845-8005</i>	FAX: <i>409-845-9663</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>d.parker@tamuedu</i>	Date: <i>3/22/97</i>

# Share Your Ideas With Colleagues Around the World

**Submit your conference papers or other documents to the world's largest education-related database, and let ERJC work for you.**

The Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) is an international resource funded by the U.S. Department of Education. The ERIC database contains over 850,000 records of conference papers, journal articles, books, reports, and non-print materials of interest to educators at all levels. Your manuscripts can be among those indexed and described in the database.

## **Why submit materials to ERJC?**

- **Visibility.** Items included in the ERIC database are announced to educators around the world through over 2,000 organizations receiving the abstract journal, *Resources in Education (RIE)*; through access to ERIC on CD-ROM at most academic libraries and many local libraries; and through online searches of the database via the Internet or through commercial vendors.
- **Dissemination.** If a reproduction release is provided to the ERIC system, documents included in the database are reproduced on microfiche and distributed to over 900 information centers worldwide. This allows users to preview materials on microfiche readers before purchasing paper copies or originals.
- **Retrievability.** This is probably the most important service ERIC can provide to authors in education. The bibliographic descriptions developed by the ERIC system are retrievable by electronic searching of the database. Thousands of users worldwide regularly search the ERIC database to find materials specifically suitable to a particular research agenda, topic, grade level, curriculum, or educational setting. Users who find materials by searching the ERIC database have particular needs and will likely consider obtaining and using items described in the output obtained from a structured search of the database.
- **Always "In Print."** ERIC maintains a master microfiche from which copies can be made on an "on-demand" basis. This means that documents archived by the ERIC system are constantly available and never go "out of print." Persons requesting material from the original source can always be referred to ERIC, relieving the original producer of an ongoing distribution burden when the stocks of printed copies are exhausted.

## **So, how do I submit materials?**

- Complete and submit the *Reproduction Release* form printed on the reverse side of this page. You have two options when completing this form: If you wish to allow ERIC to make microfiche and paper copies of print materials, check the box on the left side of the page and provide the signature and contact information requested. If you want ERIC to provide only microfiche or digitized copies of print materials, check the box on the right side of the page and provide the requested signature and contact information. If you are submitting non-print items or wish ERIC to only describe and announce your materials, without providing reproductions of any type, please contact ERIC/CSMEE as indicated below and request the complete reproduction release form.
- Submit the completed release form along with two copies of the conference paper or other document being submitted. There must be a separate release form for each item submitted. Mail all materials to the attention of Niqui Beckrum at the address indicated.

## **For further information, contact...**

Niqui Beckrum  
Database Coordinator  
ERIC/CSMEE  
1929 Kenny Road  
Columbus, OH 43210-1080

1-800-276-0462  
(614) 292-6717  
(614) 292-0263 (Fax)  
ericse@osu.edu (e-mail)